1

2

3

4 5

7

6

9

10

8

1112

14

13

1516

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

HOPKINS & CARLEY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN JOSE PALO ALTO

STIPULATION

Plaintiff and Counter-Defendants Neo4j, Inc. and Neo4j Sweden AB (collectively "Plaintiffs") and Defendants Graph Foundation, Inc. ("GFI"), GraphGrid, Inc. ("GraphGrid") and AtomRain, Inc. ("ARI" and with GFI and GraphGrid, collectively "Defendants"), by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 29 permits parties to stipulate as to the manner in which depositions may be taken;

WHEREAS, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(4) permits parties to stipulate that a deposition be taken by remote means;

WHEREAS, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(5)(B) provides that if depositions are recorded, the appearance or demeanor of deponents or attorneys shall not be distorted through camera techniques; and

WHEREAS, as a result of the novel coronavirus pandemic, the parties' ability to travel and conduct in-person meetings may be restricted or prohibited;

THEREFORE, the Parties agree to the following:

- 1. By agreeing to this Stipulated Deposition Protocol, no party waives the right to insist that the deposition of a particular witness be taken in person. This Protocol in no way constitutes an agreement by the Parties to take all depositions via remote means. It only applies to the deposition of Graph Foundation Inc., which the parties have agreed to take remotely.
- 2. The Parties agree to use the Zoom platform administered by Bell & Myers Court Reporters and Legal Videographers ("Bell & Myers Court Reporters") to take the remote deposition of Defendant Graph Foundation, Inc.
- 3. The Parties agree to waive the traditional in-person oath at deposition. In lieu of the traditional in-person oath, the Parties agree that the witness can be sworn-in remotely by the court reporter transcribing the deposition.
- 4. The remote deposition taken pursuant to this Stipulation will be deemed to have been conducted "before an officer" as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(5)(A) so

842\3627552.1

///

1

4

11

10

17

18

14

21

22

28

ong as that officer attends the deposition via the same remote means used to connect	all ot	the
remote participants.		

- 5. The depositions subject to this Protocol shall be recorded by stenographic and by audiovisual means.
- 6. The defending attorney shall ensure that the witness has access to technology that meets the minimum standards required by the Platforms, including but not limited to a computer with an internet speed of at least 1.5 Megabits per second; a webcam; and a phone, USB microphone, headphones with a microphone, or other technology sufficient to ensure the transmission of high quality audio and video via the platform.
- 7. The questioning attorney (or another individual from the questioning attorney's office) shall have primary responsibility for handling exhibits during the deposition. The questioning attorney shall present digital copies of each of the exhibits to the witness and defending attorney via the "Chat" feature of the Zoom platform. The questioning attorney may elect to pre-mark exhibits, if desired, but otherwise the court reporter will electronically mark exhibits during the deposition and may save the marked exhibits in a unique folder maintained by the court reporter during the deposition. The court reporter will create an official set of these exhibits and make them available to all parties following the conclusion of the deposition.
- 8. In addition to presenting copies of the exhibits via the "Chat" feature, as described above, the questioning attorney may display certain exhibits electronically on a split screen on the Zoom platform during the deposition. The questioning attorney will have primary control of the exhibit when displayed on the split screen and may annotate such exhibit on the record during the deposition. The questioning attorney may also give control of an exhibit to the witness to annotate on the record during the deposition. Annotated exhibits will be saved by the court reporter and become part of the official set of exhibits downloaded by the court reporter following the deposition. Likewise, the questioning attorney may use html links to bring up webpages in real time, which may be captured via video and/or screenshot.
- 9. The witness shall maintain appropriate lighting for the duration of the deposition, so that the witness is always visible via the video feed to all participants at the deposition.

- 13
- 16
- 19
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27

28

842\3627552.1

///

- 4 -

- 10. No other video or audio recordings of the deposition or video feed shall be made by any participant to the deposition.
- 11. Audio feed can either be through the computer (preferable), or via phone, but not both (due to feedback). A Zoom telephone conference line number shall be provided by the court reporter. Parties with slower internet connections should utilize the phone line for audio.
- 12. Except for the witness, questioning attorney, defending attorney, court reporter and videographer, all other attendees should remain on mute when not speaking.
- 13. The witness will have his or her cell phone turned off during the deposition. The witness will also not use any chat, messenger or email programs or applications on his or her computer, tablet or any mobile device while on the record during the deposition. The witness will state under oath at the beginning of the deposition that he or she will not engage in communications by any means with counsel or anyone else while on the record outside of those communications to which all are privy.
- 14. For all depositions that proceed in a fully remote fashion, no one else will be in the room in which the witness is located during the deposition unless otherwise agreed in advance.
- 15. Prior to the scheduled deposition, the witness and a representative from Bell & Myers Court Reporters shall conduct a test videoconference to confirm sound and video quality, appropriate positioning of camera, lighting, and background, etc.
- The public chat function will be enabled, but not any private chat functions. The 16. court reporter shall be designated to receive communications from anyone who loses access to the deposition due to technical issues.
- 17. Attendance of the deposition shall be "locked" once the deposition begins, (i.e., participants cannot join at will). The court reporter or exhibit tech shall establish a "waiting room" for the deposition. Should a participant wish to join a deposition in progress, need to leave and rejoin a deposition or loses their connection due to technical issues, that participant will be placed in the "waiting room" until the court reporter or exhibit tech allows their re-entry to the deposition.

1	18. The court reporter will set up private Zoom breakout rooms at counsel's request,			
2	which may only be used off the record and during agreed-upon breaks.			
3	19. The parties further agree that an objection to the form of a question made by			
4	counsel for any Defendant will be deemed to be an objection to form by all Defendants without			
5	the need for any other Defendant's counsel to repeat or join in any such objection.			
6	20. The parties may further modify this stipulation as circumstances change with the			
7	ongoing pandemic. Further, any party may seek relief from the Court to modify this stipulation			
8	for good cause shown.			
9				
10	Dated: October 15, 2020	HOPKINS & CARLEY A Law Corporation		
11		By: /s/ Jeffrey M. Ratinoff		
12		Jeffrey M. Ratinoff Attorneys for Plaintiffs and		
13		Counter-Defendants NEO4J, INC. and NEO4J SWEDEN AB		
14	Dated: October 15, 2020	SKAGGS FAUCETTE LLP		
15				
16		By: <u>/s/ Jeffrey E. Faucette</u> Jeffrey E. Faucette		
17		Attorneys for Defendants GRAPHGRID, INC. and ATOMRAIN INC.		
18	Dated: October 15, 2020	BERGESON, LLP		
19		By: /s/ John D. Pernick		
20		John D. Pernick Attorneys for Defendant		
21		GRAPH FOUNDATION, INC.		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28 LEY		5		
.w	842\3627552.1	- 5 -		

HOPKINS & CARLEY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN JOSE PALO ALTO

Case 5:19-cv-06226-EJD Document 84 Filed 10/15/20 Page 6 of 6

ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby certify that I have obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from all signatories for whom a signature is indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this electronically filed document and I have on file records to support this concurrence for subsequent production to the Court if so ordered or for inspection upon request.

Dated: October 15, 2020 HOPKINS & CARLEY A Law Corporation

By: /s/ Jeffrey M. Ratinoff

John V. Picone III
Jeffrey M. Ratinoff
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
NEO4J, INC. and NEO4J SWEDEN AB

842\3627552.1

HOPKINS & CARLEY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN JOSE • PALO ALTO